Crystal Structure of RCu_4Ag and RCu_4Al (R = Rare Earth) Intermetallic Compounds

T. TAKESHITA, S. K. MALIK,* AND W. E. WALLACE Department of Chemistry, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15260

Received May 27, 1977; in revised form July 21, 1977

Crystallographic studies have been made on the ternary rare earth intermetallic compounds RCu_4Al and RCu_4Ag , where R = La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Sm, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, and Tm. All RCu_4Al compounds are found to crystallize in the hexagonal CaCu₃ structure. However, in RCu_4Ag series, compounds with R = Nd to Tm form in the MgSnCu₄-type structure, while those with R = La, Ce, and Pr form only multiphase solids.

Introduction

RCu, compounds are known to crystallize in the hexagonal CaCu₅-type structure and/or in the cubic AuBe_s-type structure depending on the rare earth elements involved and the heat treatments. The compounds with largesize rare earths (R = La-Sm) crystallize only in the CaCu₅-type structure, while those with small-size rare earths (R = Ho-Tm) occur only in the AuBe₅-type structure (1). In the medium-size rare earths (R = Gd, Tb and Dy), both structures occur depending on the heat treatments; CaCu₅-type being stable at high temperatures (1). Rare earth intermetallic compounds, RCo, and RNi,, which have the hexagonal CaCu_s-type structure, have been extensively studied because of their important magnetic properties and hydrogen absorption capacity (2, 3). Little attention has been paid to RCu, compounds since this system is not attractive in this respect, although some RCu, compounds are reported to absorb hydrogen (4). It is known that the high coercivity of RCo, compounds is due to their anisotropic (uniaxial) magnetization,

* On leave from Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Colaba, Bombay 400 005, India.

which arises from their unique crystal structure, i.e., CaCu, structure (2). Since RCu, compounds can have two morphological modifications, it is thought that a study on the effects of the ternary substitution in this system will give some clue in understanding of their structural stabilities. The composition $RCu_{4}X$ was chosen because this composition gives opportunities for possible interesting crystal structures, i.e., the ordered or disordered substitution in the CaCu, and MgSnCu, structures (5), the latter of which is derived from the cubic AuBe, structure by ordered substitution. A study of the magnetic properties of some of the RCu₄Ag compounds has been reported elsewhere (6).

Experimental

Alloys were prepared by induction melting of stoichiometric amounts of the constituent metals in a water-cooled copper boat under a continuous flow of purified argon gas. The purity of the starting materials was as follows: rare earth, 99.9%; Cu, Al, and Ag, 99.9999%. The alloy ingots were turned over and melted several times to ensure sample homogeneity. The weight loss during melting was negligible.



FIG. 1. (a) The unit cell of the hexagonal $CaCu_{5}$ -type structure; (b) the unit cell of the cubic $MgSnCu_{4}$ -type structure.

Powder X-ray diffraction patterns of as-cast samples were obtained on a Picker X-ray diffractometer using $CuK\alpha$ radiation with a nickel filter. The lattice constants were obtained by using a least-squares-fit computer program.

 TABLE I

 Crystallographic Data of RCu_AI Compounds

| | a (Å) | c (Å) | c/a | V (Å ³) |
|----------------------|-------|-------|-------|---------------------|
| LaCu₄Al | 5.238 | 4.189 | 0.800 | 99.52 |
| CeCu ₄ Al | 5.195 | 4.188 | 0.806 | 97.90 |
| PrCu₄Al | 5.186 | 4.185 | 0.807 | 97.52 |
| NdCu₄Al | 5.150 | 4.181 | 0.812 | 96.03 |
| SmCu ₄ Al | 5.126 | 4.168 | 0.813 | 9 4.8 4 |
| GdCu₄Al | 5.096 | 4.152 | 0.815 | 93.35 |
| TbCu₄Al | 5.073 | 4.149 | 0.818 | 92.46 |
| DyCu ₄ Al | 5.064 | 4.152 | 0.820 | 92.16 |
| HoCu Al | 5.047 | 4.148 | 0.822 | 91.50 |
| ErCuAl | 5.039 | 4.139 | 0.821 | 91.00 |
| TmCu₄Al | 5.026 | 4.138 | 0.823 | 90.51 |

Results and Discussion

All RCu₄Al compounds studied are found to crystallize in the hexagonal CaCu₅-type structure, which is shown in Fig. 1a. Their crystallographic data are given in Table I. Lattice parameters of RCu₄Al compounds together with those of hexagonal RCu₅ compounds are plotted against the rare earth atomic numbers in Fig. 2. No anomaly in the lattice parameters of CeCu₄Al and CeCu₅ is observed, from which it is inferred that cerium is trivalent in these compounds. It is noted that the aluminum substitution stabilizes the hexagonal CaCu, structure for RCu.Al compounds although RCu, compounds with Ho, Er, and Tm occur only in the cubic AuBe, structure (1). The unit cell of the RCu_AAI compounds has expanded with respect to that of the corresponding RCu_s compounds since the atomic radius of Al (1.432 Å) is larger than that of Cu (1.278 Å).¹ The expansion of the caxis is larger than that of the a-axis, and this



FIG. 2. The lattice constants of the hexagonal RCu_3 and RCu_4Al vs the atomic number of rare earth elements.

¹ The radii of the elements of coordination number 12 are taken from the data in Ref. (7).



FIG. 3. The lattice constants ratio (c/a) vs the aluminum contents (x) for $RCu_{5-x}Al_x$ (R = La, Ce, and Gd).

trend is greater for compounds of larger-size rare earths. This indicates that the Al atom substitutes preferentially a Cu atom on the 3g site in larger-size rare earth compounds. Xray diffraction intensity measurements also showed that in compounds of larger-size rare earths (R = La-Sm), Al occupies preferentially the 3g site, but compounds of smaller rare earths (R = Gd-Tm) are more consistent with the random substitution of Al for Cu. Attempts were made to prepare RCu_3Al_2 and RCu_2Al_3 compounds with R = La, Ce, and Gd and it was found that, for these rare earths,

TABLE II CRYSTALLOGRAPHIC DATA OF RCu₄Ag Compounds

| | a (Å) | V (Å ³) | $\frac{1}{4}V(\dot{A}^{3})$ |
|----------------------|-------|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| NdCu₄Ag | 7.234 | 378.56 | 94.64 |
| SmCu ₄ Ag | 7.186 | 371.07 | 92.77 |
| GdCu₄Ag | 7.163 | 367.52 | 91.88 |
| TbCu₄Ag | 7.148 | 365.22 | 91.31 |
| DyCu₄Ag | 7.112 | 359.73 | 89.93 |
| HoCu₄Ag | 7.101 | 358.06 | 89.52 |
| ErCu₄Ag | 7.097 | 357.46 | 89.37 |
| TmCu₄Ag | 7.083 | 355.35 | 88.84 |



FIG. 4. The lattice constants of the cubic RCu_5 and RCu_4Ag vs the atomic number of rare earth elements.

 RCu_3Al_2 compounds crystallize in $CaCu_5$ structure while RCu_2Al_3 compounds are multiphase materials. In Fig. 3 the lattice parameter ratios, c/a, are plotted against the aluminum content in $RCu_{5-x}Al_x$ (R = La, Ce, and Gd) compounds. It is seen that this ratio has the maximum at x = 1 for La and Ce but decreases continuously for Gd. This is also in agreement with the above conclusion, i.e., the preferential occupation of Al in the 3g site for larger rare earths (La–Sm) and the random substitution of Al in Cu sites for smaller rare earths (Gd–Tm).

In the ternary RCu₄Ag system, compounds of Nd to Tm are found to form single-phase solids, but those of La-Pr are found to be multiphase solids. RCu_4Ag compounds of R =Nd-Tm form the cubic MgSnCu₄ structure, which is shown in Fig. 1b. This structure is derived from the cubic MgCu₂ or AuBe, structure by an ordered substitution of rare earth atom (MgCu₂ type) or that of transition atom (AuBe, type). Crystallographic information for the RCu₄Ag compounds is given in Table II. Lattice constants of these compounds together with those of cubic RCu, compounds are plotted against atomic numbers of rare earths in Fig. 4. It is seen that the normal lanthanide contraction occurs in the RCu_4Ag series and, moreover, the lattice of RCu₄Ag compounds has expanded with respect to that of the parent RCu, compounds.



FIG. 5. The volume of one formula unit of RCu_3 , RCu_4Al , and RCu_4Ag vs the atomic number of rare earth elements.

This is due to the larger size of Ag (1.445 Å) than that of Cu (1.278 Å). It should be noted that the silver substitution has stabilized the cubic morphological structure. In fact, the compounds of Nd and Sm have the cubic MgSnCu₄ structure although the corresponding RCu₅ compounds exist only in the hexagonal CaCu, structure. The unit cell of cubic RCu, or RCu₄Ag contains four formula units and the hexagonal RCu, or RCu₄Al has one formula unit in its unit cell. In Fig. 5, the volume per one formula unit for RCu_{4} , RCu_{4} Al, and RCu_{4} Ag is plotted against the atomic number of rare earth elements. A comparison of these for the cubic RCu, and the hexagonal RCu, shows that the cubic morphological structure is more compact than the hexagonal one. A similar trend is seen for the cubic RCu_4Ag and the hexagonal RCu_4Al although the metallic radius of Ag (1.445 Å) is slightly larger than that of Al (1.432 Å).

It was reported that the cubic AuBe, structure occurs in AB_5 stoichiometry where the radius ratio of A and B elements is small, and the contrary is observed for the hexagonal CaCu₅-type structure (1, 8). The metallic radius of Ag (1.445 Å) is larger than that of Cu (1.278 Å). The occurrence of the cubic structure in the silver ternary RCu₄Ag compounds (R = Nd to Tm) is, therefore, in agreement with the statement of Dwight (8). Nonformation of the compounds LaCu₄Ag, CeCu₄Ag, and PrCu₄Ag is also in accordance with this, since the size of the rare earths involved is large. The metallic radius of Al (1.432 Å) is slightly smaller than that of Ag (1.445 Å), but it is much larger than that of Cu (1.278 Å). It seems, therefore, that the $RCu_{4}Al$ compounds are also expected to crystallize in the cubic structure according to Dwight. However, all RCu₄Al compounds studied (R = La-Tm) are found to crystallize in the hexagonal structure contrary to this expectation.

The electron concentration in the RCu₄Ag compounds is the same as that in the parent RCu, compounds since one Cu atom or one Ag atom donates one electron to the conduction band giving an electron concentration of 1.33 per atom in these compounds. Therefore, the size of the element involved appears to be a major factor in the structural stabilization in the RCu₄Ag system. On the other hand, one Al atom gives three electrons to the conduction band. Consequently the electron concentration in the RCu₄Al compounds (1.67 per atom) is higher than that in the RCu_5 compounds (1.33) per atom), which may overcome the size factor and stabilize the hexagonal structure in the RCu₄Al system, particularly for small-size rare earths (Ho, Er, and Tm). However, the electron concentration in the typical hexagonal compound CaCu, is only 1.17 per atom and simple electron concentration the consideration alone does not appear to be enough for an understanding of the difference in the substitution effect of Ag and Al. As mentioned above, the unit cell volume of the hexagonal compound is larger than that of the corresponding cubic compound, and the volume expansion is smaller by Al than by Ag substitution. These observations indicate that the hexagonal CaCu, structure is capable of

accommodating larger atoms in the Cu sites than was originally thought (8).

In this regard, the structural stability of the ternary systems studied is mainly due to the size of the substituting elements, and our results indicate that there is a critical radius of the substituting element for the occurrence of the cubic or the hexagonal structure in the ternaries studied.

In this study it has been shown that one Al atom substitution of a Cu atom in RCu_s stabilizes the hexagonal CaCu₅ structure and the Al atom substitutes a Cu atom preferentially on the 3g site in larger-size rare earth compounds but enters randomly in smaller rare earth compounds. The one Ag atom substitution in RCu_5 is shown to stabilize the cubic MgSnCu₄ structure for medium to small rare earth (Nd-Tm) compounds, but larger rare earths (La-Pr) do not form single-phase compounds. Since the metallic radii of Al and Ag are 1.432 and 1.445 Å, respectively, the effects of the ternary substitution of these metals are believed to be due to the size effect although some electronic effects must be involved. Similar studies are now in progress for RCo_4X and RNi_4X in our laboratory.

References

- K. H. J. BUSCHOW, A. S. VAN DER GOOT, AND J. BIRKHAN, J. Less Common Metals 19, 433 (1969).
- 2. W. E. WALLACE, "Rare Earth Intermetallics," Academic Press, New York (1974).
- 3. F. A. KULIPERS, Philips Res. Rep. Suppl. 2 (1973).
- 4. J. J. REILLY AND R. H. WISWALL, JR., Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, N.Y., BNL-17136 (1972).
- 5. A. E. DWIGHT, J. Less Common Metals 43, 117 (1975).
- T. TAKESHITA, S. K. MALIK, A. A. ELATTAR, AND W. E. WALLACE: *AIP Conf. Proc.* 34, 230 (1976).
- 7. E. TEATUM, K. A. GSCHNEIDNER, JR., AND J. WAABER, US AEC, LA-2345 (1960).
- 8. A. E. Dwight, Trans. ASME 53, 479 (1961).